Evidence-based Family Medicine Curriculum University of Ottawa

WORKSHEET FOR CRITICAL APPRAISAL OF A THERAPY ARTICLE

Citation: Author(s):	The branch was the second to t
Short title:	
Yr/ Vol/ Pgs:	*

	GUIDE	ASSESSMENT
Α.	ARE THE STUDY RESULTS VALID?	Can the results by ap
1.	Was the assignment of patients to treatment groups truly randomized?	[] Yes [] No
		[] Can't tell
2.	Were all patients who entered the study accounted for appropriately at the end? i) Is the number randomized the same as in results?	[] Yes [] No
	(Try a best case/ worse case scenario.) Were patients analysed in the groups they were allocated to at the start of the study?	[] Can't tell
3.	Were patients, physicians, and those doing the assessments "blind" to treatment?	[] Yes [] No
		[] Can't tell
1.	Was similarity between groups documented? i) Table of baseline characteristics?	[] Yes
	ii) Were differences controlled for in the	[] Can't tell
5.	Aside from the intervention, were the groups treated in the same way?	[] Yes [] No
		[] Can't tell

B.	WHAT WERE THE RESULTS?		
1.	How large was the treatment effect?		
J.X.	TOIL CRITICAL APPRAISAL OF A THERAPY ARTICLE	DESTRUCTION .	
2.	How precise was the estimate of the treatment efffect?	Citythent Author(0)	

C.	CAN RESULTS BE APPLIED TO THE PATIENT-BAS	ED QUESTION?
1.	Can the results be applied to my patient (care)? i) Are study patients similar to your patient?	[] Yes [] No [] Can't tell
2.	Were all clinically important outcomes reported? i) Were the most important outcomes used? ii) Were quality of life outcomes used? Assessment of adverse effects?	[] Yes [] No
3.	Are the likely treatment benefits greater than the potential harms and costs?	[] Yes [] No [] Can't tell

CONCLUSIONS:	*1	
The Study Results Are Valid. YES	NO	CAN'T TELL
Results Will Help in Caring For Patient. YES	NO	CAN'T TELL
		187 - 187 - Francisco (1881)